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10.1 Introduction

C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis are the two most common photosynthetic pathways contributing to global primary productivity, with Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM), a third pathway found in succulents, being of limited importance to global productivity (Sage and Monson 1999; Still et al. 2003). Atmospheric CO₂ is the carbon source for all three pathways; one key distinguishing feature among them, however, is that both C₄ and CAM photosynthesis involve a CO₂-concentrating mechanism to create high CO₂ concentrations at the site of photosynthetic carboxylation. In contrast, C₃ photosynthesis relies solely on diffusion of CO₂ from the outside atmosphere to the sites of photosynthetic CO₂ fixation (Fig. 10.1). Hence, it is clear that changes in the atmospheric CO₂ levels will more likely influence the photosynthetic activities of C₃ than of C₄ and CAM plants. In this chapter, we focus on how changes in atmospheric CO₂ might favor plants with C₃ versus C₄ photosynthesis and, in particular, consider how environmental and ecological factors will affect these C₃/C₄ abundance relationships.

Quite surprisingly, C₄ photosynthesis is found only among the most advanced land plants. C₄ is known to occur among the Angiosperms but has not been reported among older taxonomic groups, such as Gymnosperms (e.g., pines and other coniferous trees) or the Pteridopyhta (e.g., ferns) (Ehleringer and Monson 1993; Sage and Monson 1999; Chapter 9). Within the Angiosperms, approx
6000 of the approx 10,000 Monocotyledonae (hereafter monocots) have C₄ photosynthesis, whereas only approx 1500 of the approx 300,000 Dicotyledonae (hereafter dicots) have C₄ photosynthesis (Sage and Monson 1999). Within both monocots and dicots, C₄ photosynthesis has polyphyletic origins and appears to occur among only the most recently derived taxa, suggesting an evolutionary origin of only 10 to 15 million years ago.

Several general patterns emerge with respect to the distributions of C₃/C₄ taxa among different plant life forms (see Chapter 9). In today’s world, C₄ taxa are more common among subtropical and tropical taxa; they are essentially absent from arctic and temperate taxa (Sage and Monson 1999). Furthermore, within both dicot annuals and annual/perennial monocots, C₄ taxa are typically active during the summer periods and far less common among the spring-active florae. Within the shrub life form, C₄ taxa are uncommon, but when they do occur they are largely halophytes (plants occurring on saline soils). Halophytes tend to be dicots instead of monocots, primarily in the family Chenopodiaceae. With perhaps one or two exceptions globally, C₄ photosynthesis is not found in trees. What emerges from these distribution patterns is that outside of saline soils, C₄ photosynthesis is found most commonly among herbaceous vegetation (both as annuals and as perennials). This may reflect an aspect of phylogenetic or evolutionary history, and it will certainly have ecological implications in the consideration of competition between low-stature C₄ herbaceous plants and tall C₃ trees.
The greater abundance of C₄ photosynthesis among the monocots may be a result of an anatomical pre-adaptation within this phylogenetic line. Ehleringer, Cerling, and Helliker (1997) and Sage (2001) discuss the importance of parallel venation in C₄ photosynthesis. Since the most common configuration of C₄ photosynthesis is a concentration of the C₃ cycle within the bundle sheath cells, having a parallel vein structure allows for a greater packing capacity of photosynthetic structures relative to the exposed surface area of a leaf blade. Dicots typically have a reticulate vein structure, which then results in a lower vein-packing density.

Beyond taxonomic and evolutionary inquiry, it is fair to question the value of understanding the dynamics of C₃ and C₄ taxa globally. For several key reasons, we should know the climatic and ecological factors controlling the abundances of C₄ taxa. First, in today’s world, while C₄ taxa represent only approx 2% of the total species, they account for 25% to 30% of the global terrestrial primary productivity (Still et al. 2003). In a low-CO₂ world, such as during recent glacial periods, C₄ photosynthesis might have contributed an even greater proportion of global primary productivity (see Chapter 9). Second, there is ample evidence to suggest that herbivores (both insect and mammal) exhibit dietary preferences for one photosynthetic pathway over the other (summarized in Caswell et al. 1973; Ehleringer and Monson 1993; Sage and Monson 1999). Third, there is strong evidence to suggest that the relative abundances of C₃/C₄ ecosystems exhibit strong shifts over long temporal scales, such as between glacial-interglacial cycles, and that these changes may be related to atmospheric CO₂ (Talbot and Johannessen 1992; Aucour and Hillaire-Marcel 1994; Giresse, Maley, and Brenac 1994; Street-Perrott et al. 1997, 1998; Cowling and Sykes 1999; Huang et al. 1999, 2001; Boom et al. 2002; Harrison and Prentice 2003).

### 10.2 A Mechanistic Basis for the Advantage of C₄ Photosynthesis in a Low-CO₂ World

The enzyme Rubisco catalyzes the initial photosynthetic fixation of CO₂ in C₃ plants. Known more formally as ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase, Rubisco has both carboxylase and oxygenase activities:

\[
\text{RuBP} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{PGA} \tag{10.1}
\]

\[
\text{RuBP} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{PGA} + \text{PG} \tag{10.2}
\]

where RuBP is ribulose bisphosphate, PGA is phosphoglycerate, and PG is phosphoglycolate. Subsequent oxidative metabolism of phosphoglycolate results in CO₂ loss and is known as photorespiration; the degree of oxygenase activity is a function of the atmospheric O₂/CO₂ ratio. Although Rubisco is thought to have originally evolved under anaerobic conditions, photosynthetic activity today in C₃ plants results in a relatively high photorespiration rate, simply because
atmospheric CO$_2$ is low (~370 ppm) and atmospheric O$_2$ is high (~210,000 ppm). Roughly 25% to 30% of the Rubisco activity at 25°C is currently associated with oxygenase activity. Photorespiration rate is further enhanced by elevated temperature (Fig. 10.2). The photorespiratory increase with temperature occurs because Rubisco oxygenase activity is temperature sensitive, whereas carboxylase activity appears to be temperature insensitive.

The C$_4$ photosynthetic pathway represents a small but significant evolutionary change from C$_3$ photosynthesis. Biochemically, C$_4$ photosynthesis represents a CO$_2$ concentrating mechanism that achieves high CO$_2$ levels at the site of Rubisco activity (see Fig. 10.1). In C$_3$ plants, CO$_2$ diffuses into photosynthetic cells (resulting in lower-than-atmospheric values) and fixed by the enzyme Rubisco into phosphoglycerate (a 3C molecule). In C$_4$ plants, CO$_2$ (actually HCO$_3^-$) is fixed more rapidly by PEP carboxylase into, initially, a 4C molecule. Since the activity of PEP carboxylase is faster than Rubisco, this leads to relatively high CO$_2$/O$_2$ levels inside bundle sheath cells, thereby eliminating photorespiration. Several C$_4$ photosynthetic subtypes exist (NADP-ME, NAD-ME, and PCK), with distribution following taxonomic lines (Sage and Monson 1999). The different photosynthetic subtypes represent different decarboxylation mechanisms.

The available evidence suggests that the atmospheric CO$_2$ levels 40 to 100 million years ago were higher than they are today (Ekart et al. 1999; see Chapter 2), and there is little likelihood that a C$_4$ photosynthetic carbon-concentrating

Figure 10.2. The relative proportion of photorespiration to photosynthesis in C$_3$ photosynthesis as a function of CO$_2$ and temperature. (Based on Ehleringer et al. 1991.)
A common advantage of C₄ photosynthesis over C₃ photosynthesis is the lack of photorespiratory activity at the whole-leaf level. At low light levels, this difference is expressed as an enhanced photosynthetic quantum yield or light-use efficiency (Ehleringer and Björkman 1977; Ehleringer 1978; Ehleringer and Pearcy 1983; Sage 2001); at high light levels, photorespiration in today’s atmosphere still results in a significant reduction in photosynthesis in C₃ plants. When individual leaves are exposed to higher light levels, the maximum quantum yield measured at low light levels may have little direct bearing on photosynthetic rate, but it is still an effective index of the relative inhibition of photosynthesis due to photorespiration (von Caemmerer 2000; Chapter 9). In this regard, the quantum yield serves a reliable indicator of the extent to which reduced CO₂ levels have resulted in lower photosynthetic rates in C₃ plants across a broad range of environmental conditions. The quantum yield of C₃ plants declined as temperatures increased (Fig. 10.3A), reflecting the negative impact of photorespiration on carbon gain.

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ levels increase quantum yield (reducing photorespiration), but the quantum yield still declines with increasing temperature. In contrast, the quantum yield of C₄ plants remains constant with temperature, since

**Figure 10.3.** (A) The relationships between photosynthetic quantum yield (light-use efficiency) of C₃ and C₄ monocot taxa and temperature at several atmospheric CO₂ levels. (B) The prediction of where C₃ or C₄ taxa should predominate based on different combinations of atmospheric CO₂ and temperature. These curves are based on quantum yield relationships, Fig. 10.A. (Based on Ehleringer et al. 1997)
no photorespiratory activity is expressed at the leaf level. As a result of the different crossover points shown in Fig. 10.3A, we can construct a plot of those CO₂-temperature regimes where C₃ plants will have a photosynthetic advantage over C₄ plants and vice versa (Fig. 10.3B). The gap between the two central lines in the figure represents the intrinsic variations in quantum yield associated with the different C₄ subtypes.

Several distinct predictions can be made with respect to photosynthesis, temperature, and atmospheric carbon dioxide (Fig. 10.3B). First, at any point in Earth’s history (and therefore at a single atmospheric CO₂ level), C₄ photosynthesis should be expected to occur largely in Earth’s warmer regions with C₃ plants dominating the cooler regions. Second, as atmospheric CO₂ levels decreased in the past, the atmosphere of our planet crossed a threshold where C₄ photosynthesis became advantageous over C₃ photosynthesis, particularly at high growing-season temperatures. This necessarily implies warm temperatures during the growing season (therefore most likely a summer-wet or monsoon-type environment, but one in which grasses are not shaded out). While we cannot specify the CO₂ level at which C₄ first became advantageous, the results shown in Fig. 10.3B suggest that the crossover favoring expansion of C₄ plants occurred at atmospheric CO₂ levels that are higher than the present (i.e., >370 ppm). Atmospheric CO₂ will not be the sole factor determining a selective advantage to C₃ plants, but the differential photorespiratory responses of C₃ and C₄ photosynthetic physiologies certainly implies that C₃ plants will be sensitive to high temperature environments, particularly as atmospheric CO₂ levels decrease. Lastly, the quantum yield model predicts that C₄ photosynthesis should be expected to expand significantly under low CO₂ conditions, such as occurred during glacial periods. Under glacial CO₂ levels of 180 ppm (see Chapter 4), C₃ plants should be getting close to CO₂ starvation and photorespiration rates would be expected to be quite high (see Fig. 10.2).

**10.3 C₄ Photosynthesis: An Adaptation to What?**

While Fig. 10.3B implies that C₄ photosynthesis should be an adaptation to warm growing-season regions of a low CO₂ world, there are other potential ecological conditions where this pathway may exhibit a competitive advantage over C₃ plants (see Chapter 9). One obviously advantageous situation is a saline environment, where plants typically have reduced transpiration rates because stomatal conductances are reduced, thus limiting the inward diffusion of CO₂ (Pearcy and Ehleringer 1984; Sage and Monson 1999). Here it appears that C₄ dicots are most abundant, especially members of the Amaranthaceae and Chenopodiaceae families, such as *Atriplex* and *Salsola* (Sage and Monson 1999; Pyankov et al. 1999, 2000). Relatively few CO₂ monocot taxa occur in saline regions, although *Distichlis* and *Spartina* are clear examples of monocots that often predominate globally in many salt marsh ecosystems.

C₄ taxa have a wide distribution, largely centered in the tropical and subtrop-
Figure 10.4. The distributions of $C_3$ and $C_4$ grasses in steppe and savanna ecosystems of the world. These are the two biomes wherein grasses are a significant fraction of the vegetation. (Adapted from Ehleringer and Cerling 2001.)
Monson 1999). From a physiological perspective, there appears to be nothing inherent in the \(\text{C}_4\) pathway that conveys a selective advantage to reduced plant water content, such as plants would typically experience during drought. Perhaps surprisingly, \(\text{C}_4\) plants are not a large component of dry desert ecosystems where seasonal and interannual droughts are common (see Chapter 9). When they do occur in desert regions, \(\text{C}_4\) monocots tend to be active only in the summer in regions with summer rains and then to be active only after the warm summer rains have arrived (Shreve and Wiggins 1964; Sage and Monson 1999).

As a consequence of their low stature, \(\text{C}_4\) monocots can predominate only in open ecosystems where trees do not shade them out. This makes it particularly difficult in a historical sense to distinguish \(\text{C}_3/\text{C}_4\) shifts that are associated with \(\text{C}_3/\text{C}_4\) monocot changes (such as would occur in grasslands) from \(\text{C}_3/\text{C}_4\) shifts associated with the gaps between tree canopies opening and closing, such as those that would occur in savannas in response to fire or grazing pressures (see Chapter 9). Bond, Midgley, and Woodward (2003) have recently modeled \(\text{C}_3\) tree and \(\text{C}_2\) grass responses to fire, a major disturbance of African grassland and savanna ecosystems. They found that the slow recovery of trees under a low-\(\text{CO}_2\) environment favored the expansion of grasslands. This is similar to the conclusion of Cerling, Ehleringer, and Harris (1998) that \(\text{C}_3\) taxa tend to approach \(\text{CO}_2\) starvation under the low \(\text{CO}_2\) levels of glacial periods. Thus, it is easier to interpret and understand the contributing factors impacting historical changes in local-to-regional \(\text{C}_3/\text{C}_4\) abundances when comparisons are made within a single life form (grass-grass) rather than across life forms (tree-grass).
10.4 The Interactions Between Atmospheric CO$_2$, Temperature, and Precipitation: How They Affect C$_4$ Monocot Distribution

At any given atmospheric CO$_2$ level, the Ehleringer et al. (1997) model predicts that C$_4$ taxa should be most likely to occur in those habitats having a warmer growing season. Implicit in this model is that growing-season temperatures are distinguished from non-growing-season temperatures. Plants experiencing a cool winter-precipitation regime followed by a hot, dry summer (such as all Mediterranean climates) would not be considered warm-temperature ecosystems. Thus, Mediterranean climate and monsoonal climates would be distinct from each other, even though summertime temperatures in both climates might be similar. Teeri and Stowe (1976) were the first to show that C$_4$ grass distributions across the Great Plains of North America were linearly related to growing-season temperature. Ehleringer (1978) modeled current C$_4$ grass distributions under several ecological situations (geographic gradients in the Great Plains and biseasonal precipitation regimes) and showed that C$_4$ grass distributions followed the predicted temperature relationships shown in Fig. 10.3B. Recently, Ehleringer, Cerling, and Helliker (1997) reviewed more than 20 C$_4$ monocot studies and showed that in each case, % C$_4$ abundance was a linear function of growing-season temperature. Sage and Monson (1999) showed that % C$_4$ abundance on islands followed latitudinal gradients, with C$_4$ plants most common in warm regions. Collatz, Berry, and Clark (1998) predicted global C$_4$ abundances using the quantum yield model. Their results were constrained to consider only growing-season temperatures (i.e., monthly periods during which precipitation exceeded a threshold). In each of these studies, the regional and global C$_3$/C$_4$ distributions are consistent with predictions shown in Fig. 10.3B.

The quantum yield model predicts that at some time in Earth’s recent history the atmospheric CO$_2$ declined to a point where a threshold was crossed and C$_4$ plants would be favored globally in the warmest growing-season habitats. Cerling et al. (1997) provided convincing evidence for a global expansion of C$_4$-dominated ecosystems approximately 6 to 8 million years ago during the late Miocene. At that time, C$_4$ ecosystems appeared nearly simultaneously in North and South America, Africa, and Asia. In each case, C$_4$ ecosystems appeared in warmer, lower latitudes and not in higher, cooler latitudes. Just exactly what that threshold CO$_2$ level was is unclear, but the threshold CO$_2$ would clearly have depended on the growing-season temperature (Fig. 10.3B). It is likely that the CO$_2$ level was somewhere in the range of 350 to 500 ppm. Firm estimates of the atmospheric CO$_2$ levels during the late Miocene are not well constrained. Some CO$_2$ proxies suggest that atmospheric CO$_2$ levels have been at near current levels since the Eocene (Pagani, Freeman, and Arthur 1999; Freeman and Colarusso 2001; see Chapter 3). Oxygen isotope ratio data suggest that increased monsoonal activity in southern Asia and the Indian subcontinent preceded the expansion of C$_4$ ecosystems by 1 to 2 million years (Quade, Cerling, and Bowman 1989). Such activity would have increased weathering of Himalayan uplift and could have been a driver for reducing atmospheric CO$_2$ levels (Ruddiman
Until we have a clear high-resolution time course of CO₂ for the past 40 to 60 million years, the exact threshold that precipitated the expansion of C₄ ecosystems will remain unclear.

10.5 Was Atmospheric CO₂ a Driver for C₄ Expansion During Glacial Periods?

The changes in atmospheric CO₂ levels between 180 and 280 ppm over the past 420,000 years (Petit et al., 1999; see Chapter 4) should have impacted the abundances of C₃/C₄ taxa. The quantum yield model predicts that in ecosystems with warm growing-season temperatures the potential abundances of C₃/C₄ taxa fluctuated as CO₂ rose and declined between glacial and interglacial periods. There is now ample evidence from ecosystems in North America, South America, Central America, and Africa showing that C₄ taxa replaced C₃ taxa during glacial periods and that C₄ taxa often decreased in abundance following the last glacial maximum (e.g., Talbot and Johannessen, 1992; Aucour and Hillaire-Marcel 1994; Giresse, Maley, and Brenac 1994; Street-Perrott et al. 1997, 1998; Huang et al. 1999, 2001). Three depth-time profiles from different tropical regions clearly demonstrate a carbon isotopic shift in the historical record between glacial and interglacial periods (Fig. 10.6).

In each of these cases, the trends are consistent with increased C₄ abundance during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), followed by a decrease in the abundance of C₃ photosynthesis following deglaciation and the correlated increase in atmospheric CO₂. Recently, Boom et al. (2002) showed that the transition between C₃ and C₄ dominated ecosystems in the Bogota basin, Colombia, has repeatedly followed glacial-interglacial cycles for the past 400,000 years. During

![Figure 10.6. Chronological profiles of the carbon isotope ratio values of organic matter in left Lake Barombi Mbo, Cameroon (Giresse et al., 1994), middle Lake Bosumtwi, Ghana (Talbot and Johannessen (1992), and right Sacred Lake, Kenya (Huang et al., 1995).]
Figure 10.7. A comparison of the current geographic extent of tropical forest, savanna, grassland, and desert biomes between latitudes 30°S and 30°N to the geographical extent predicted from BIOME4 for these biome types during the last glacial maximum under two environmental scenarios: (a) the last glacial maximum climate and today’s atmospheric CO₂ level and (b) the last glacial maximum climate and a reduced CO₂ level of 200 ppm. Bars indicate the average geographic extent predicted based on 17 different climate simulations. (Based on data presented in Harrison and Prentice 2003.)

Each glacial period (low CO₂), C₄ taxa expanded, whereas during interglacial periods, C₃ taxa predominated. Whether these C₃/C₄ shifts are associated with changes in the abundances of C₃/C₄ monocots or changes in the abundances of C₃ trees versus C₄ monocots is not always clear. Pollen evidence (when available) often indicates that the latter possibility is more likely. Other paleontological evidence indicates shifts in C₃/C₄ abundances that are correlated with the changes in atmospheric CO₂. In regions of western North America now dominated by C₃ taxa, tooth analyses of several extinct grazers (e.g., mastadonts, bison, and camels) indicate that C₄ species were a major dietary component (see Chapters 12 and 13 and Connin, Betancourt, and Quade 1998 for interpretation of tooth data). At the landscape scale, caliche carbonate analyses indicate that some portions of Arizona and New Mexico had a significant C₄ component even though these sites are C₃ dominated today (Cole and Monger 1994).
Recently, Harrison and Prentice (2003) have examined the extent to which the changes in global vegetation between the last glacial maximum and present have been driven by (a) changes in climate (temperature and precipitation) alone or (b) changes in both climate and atmospheric CO2. They examined predictions of a global vegetation model driven by 17 different simulations of the paleoclimate at the LGM. Of considerable interest is that simulations with and without the direct consideration of atmospheric CO2 on photosynthesis yielded quite different predictions (Fig. 10.7).

When compared to the present-day aerial extent of major biomes, the model predicted that with climate change alone (temperature and precipitation), there would be little change in the global extent of forest, savanna, and grassland vegetation. That predicted pattern is not consistent with the available pollen record. However, when the change in atmospheric CO2 was also included with climate change in the model, then there were large predicted reductions in both the extent of tropical forest and savanna biomes (Fig. 10.7). This direct effect of CO2 on biome-scale photosynthesis and hence on productivity and plant distribution is consistent with the predictions of the quantum yield model. C4 grasslands apparently expanded as C3 forests retreated during glacial periods. In effect, the C3 forests in warm climates became much more CO2 starved under the 180 to 190 ppm CO2 of glacial periods.

10.6 Seasonality’s Impact on When Plants Can Grow

Seasonality is an important ecological factor that is often difficult to extract from paleo-observations. When reconstructing C3/C4 abundance relationships, it is essential to recognize that changes in ocean circulation patterns can lead to changes in the seasonality of precipitation. For example, pack rat midden data indicate that the extent of summer rains in the western United States retreated southward over the past several thousand years (Betancourt et al. 1990). Bristlecone pine tree ring isotope data from the southern Sierra Nevada Range support the notion of the loss of summer rains in the western United States and suggest that some of these precipitation shifts could have been abrupt during the last millennium (Yapp and Epstein 1982). This possibility is also supported by lake-level increase indicating more winter precipitation in the area over the past 700 years (Stine 1994). In such cases, atmospheric CO2 levels need not have decreased to favor expansion or contraction of C4 monocots in the semi-arid western United States. Based on the quantum yield model, the critical factor would be the temperature during the growing season. In the absence of a summer growing season, the vegetation would likely be C3 since temperatures are cool during the late winter and spring growing conditions.

Extending this analogy to present-day subtropical latitudes such as found in southwestern and western North America, winter precipitation regimes will favor C3 monocots, whereas summer precipitation will favor C4 monocots (Mulroy and Rundel 1977; Ehleringer, Cerling, and Helliker 1997; Sage and Monson
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This should also have been the case in the past, such as during glacial periods, although some regions could have been warm enough to favor C₄ monocots even during winter-spring periods. Huang et al. (2001) have attributed both seasonality and CO₂ as drivers in the C₃/C₄ shifts in Central American ecosystems, as predicted by the quantum yield model. In that study, Huang et al. hypothesized that shifts between summer monsoonal and winter frontal precipitation patterns likely contributed to an absence of C₄ taxa in northern Mexico (Chihuahua region) during the last glacial maximum, whereas C₄ taxa were quite common in a Guatemalan ecosystem. Ultimately, other factors could have contributed to the absence of C₄ taxa in this Chihuahuan ecosystem, since C₃ monocots are common there today (Shreve and Wiggins 1964; Huang et al. 2001) and since regions to the north were known to have been C₄ dominated during the last glacial maximum (cited above).

To illustrate the importance of seasonality favoring one photosynthetic pathway over another, consider the abundances of C₃/C₄ monocots in southern California and Florida today. Even though both locations are at similar latitudes, the C₄ abundance is high in Florida and low in southern California (Sage and Monson 1999). This is because the precipitation in California comes during the spring cool season, whereas the predominant precipitation in Florida is in the warm summer season. Accordingly, there is a high proportion of C₄ plants in Florida but not in southern California (Teeri and Stowe 1976; Sage and Monson 1999), as predicted by the quantum yield model shown in Fig. 10.3B. Similarly, in the southern United States and in northwestern Mexico where biseasonal precipitation patterns are common today, we see the same C₃/C₄ separations, with C₄ taxa active during the warm, wet summer periods (Shreve and Wiggins 1964; Mulroy and Rundel 1977). Today in these biseasonal precipitation ecosystems, C₃ grasses dominate the winter growing season, while C₄ grasses dominate the summer growing season. In order for C₄ grasses to have had a competitive advantage over C₃ grasses, the quantum yield model predicts that if current wintertime temperatures were maintained, the CO₂ level would have had to decrease substantially before C₄ grasses would be favored throughout the year. Thus, the seasonality of the precipitation event has a significant impact, influencing C₄ abundance because of temperature differences between winter and summer in temperate regions.

10.7 Ecological Factors Further Limit the Abundances of C₄ Monocot Taxa

Both climatic and nonclimatic factors will influence the abundances of C₄ taxa. Among the climatic factors already considered are atmospheric CO₂ and O₂ levels, temperature, and seasonality of precipitation (Fig. 10.8).

Yet consideration must also be given to nonclimatic drivers that will influence the abundances of C₃/C₄ taxa. Primary among these ecological considerations are factors that tend to open a C₃ forest and to allow expansion of the understory
In recent historical times, man is known to be a causal agent for such change as extensive deforestation, which leads to grass expansion. Consider four broad examples. First, forest destruction associated with the expansion of the Mayan civilization in Central America (Huang et al. 2001) and expansions in Ethiopia (Eshetu and Högberg 2000; Eshetu 2002) resulted in large changes in carbon isotope ratios of soil organic matter and lake sediments, allowing a time course reconstruction of the $C_3/C_4$ shifts. Second, recent conversions of tropical rainforests to pastures also result in profound shifts in the carbon isotope ratios of tropical soils (e.g., Neill et al. 1996). Third, large herbivores, such as elephants, are known to prefer $C_3$ trees and can have a profound effect on opening canopies (Cerling and Harris 1999). Fourth, fire will open forest canopies, allowing a $C_1$-to-$C_4$ shift (e.g., Bond 2000). In general, increased disturbance will make ecosystems appear more $C_4$-like, since $C_3$ trees are more likely to be impacted by disturbances such as fire and herbivory than are the $C_4$ grasses. Recovery from disturbance in these $C_3/C_4$ ecosystems will lead to a $C_3$ conversion as trees overtop and shade out the $C_4$ grasses (Bond 2000). If fine-scale paleontological isotope data were the only information available for recent time periods, it would be possible misinterpret the $C_3/C_4$ shifts as being climatically driven when, in fact, the $C_3/C_4$ shifts could have been driven by nonclimatic factors.

In Fig. 10.9 the climatic and nonclimatic drivers of change in $C_3/C_4$ ecosystems are integrated into a simple but mechanistically based model. Each of these ecosystems is semi-arid, with precipitation to evaporation ratios near unity. Shifts in $C_3/C_4$ abundances in tree-grass ecosystems are driven by both climatic (e.g., CO$_2$, temperature, precipitation) and nonclimatic factors (fire, disturbance). Within these $C_3/C_4$ shifts, $C_4$ NAD-ME grasses should occur on the drier sites, since these grasses have the lowest quantum yield values and may not out-
compete the more efficient NADP-ME grasses. In contrast, C₄ NADP-ME grasses are predicted to be more common on wetter sites than those occupied by NAD-ME plants. As moisture levels increase along this geographic cline, support of a higher leaf-area tree life form ultimately becomes possible. These predictions are consistent with observed temperate ecosystem distributions globally, such as the savannas, tall-grass prairie (NADP-ME dominated), and short-grass prairie (NAD-ME dominated) of North America. Similarly, in subtropical ecosystems globally, disturbance levels determine the shifts between open C₄ grass-dominated savanna and closed C₃ savanna forests in wet regions, while the C₃/C₄ savanna to C₄ grassland distributions are determined by precipitation levels. The second class of C₃/C₄ shifts occurs in grassland ecosystems, where life form remains constant but the growing-season temperatures dictate the extent to which photorespiratory costs favor the C₃ or C₄ photosynthetic pathway.

10.8 Summary

The abundance of plants having C₃ versus C₄ photosynthesis is strongly coupled to two environmental factors: atmospheric CO₂ and growing-season temperature. The mechanistic quantum yield predicts the combinations of CO₂ and temperature that result in photorespiratory changes that favor C₄ taxa over C₃ taxa. Warm temperatures and low atmospheric CO₂ levels favor C₄ taxa over C₃ taxa.
The abundance of C₄-dominated ecosystems is of only recent origin, with C₄-dominated ecosystems having expanded globally about 6 to 8 million years ago. Seasonality and disturbance regimes are important ecological considerations, which can contribute to the C₃/C₄ dominance in transition climate regimes under a constant atmospheric CO₂. Future increases in atmospheric CO₂ are likely to favor the expansion of C₃-dominated ecosystems over C₄-dominated ecosystems.
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