

| Points breakdown for grading the 'vegetation' paper |  |
|---|--|
| 2   | Obvious - Is your name on the paper?   |
| 5   | Obvious - Was the paper submitted as PDF?  |
| 4   | Obvious - Was the paper submitted through the website?   |
| 2   | Obvious - Are there pages numbers?   |
| 12  | Structure - Does the paper have an orderly and logical presentation of information?                                      |
| 4   | Content - Does the material presented in the paper relate to plant ecology in either a broad or narrow sense?            |
| 14  | Content - Does the whitepaper have an interesting and informative message?   |
| 14  | Content - Does the paper provide a synthetic or integrative presentation that informs and educates the reader?           |
| 6   | Figure or table 1; appropriate, adequate quality   |
| 2   | Figure or table 1 legend describing the contents and providing a reference to the source of the figure or table          |
| 6   | Figure or table 2; appropriate, adequate quality   |
| 2   | Figure or table 2 legend describing the contents and providing a reference to the source of the figure or table          |
| 6   | Figure or table 3; appropriate, adequate quality   |
| 2   | Figure or table 3 legend describing the contents and providing a reference to the source of the figure or table          |
| 4   | Literature - Does the paper bring in the references in a way that supports the points raised and developed in the paper? |
| 15  | Literature cited - requirement is 15; formatted completely and presented at end of paper                                 |
| 100   | Total  |

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| -10 | Submitted late, but still submitted before next class session |
|-----|---|

Score categories to appear for students

Obvious

Structure

Content

Figures and tables

Literature